# **BEYOND TRAINING: APPROACHES TO TEACHER EDUCATION**

# IN LANGUAGE TEACHING\*

### Jack C Richards

Two approaches have emerged in second and foreign language teacher education programmes in recent years. One is education as "training", a model that has characterized traditional approaches to teacher education and which still represents the mainstream of current practice. A second approach is referred to as "development". The contrast between training and development (with the term "education" being a more general and inclusive term) is a useful way of characterizing and describing options in teacher education (Lange, 1983, Richards 1987, Freeman 1989), and in this paper it will be used to describe alternatives available to those planning teacher education programmes. To clarify the difference between these two approaches and the implications for teacher education programmes, I will examine 5 aspects of teacher education, contrasting a "training" versus "development" perspective for each one. They are Approach, Content, Process, Teacher Roles, and Teacher-Educator Roles.

# **TEACHER EDUCATION AS TRAINING**

1 Approach

By "approach" I refer to the conceptual framework or philosophy underlying the programme, that is, the theory and assumptions about teaching and teacher education that provide the starting point for programme development. A number of interrelated themes characterize a training perspective. Many of these are implicit rather than overt and have to be teased out or inferred from looking at the programmes themselves and how they are implemented.

(i) The first assumption is that student teachers or teachers-in-service enter the programme with deficiencies of different kinds (Breen et al. 1989). These may be deficiencies of knowledge about the subject matter (e.g., the English language, Curriculum Design, Reading, ESP) or lack of specific skills or competencies (e.g., in the use of computers or the ability to teach process writing).

(ii) The second assumption is that the characteristics of effective teaching are known and can be described in discrete terms, often as skills or competencies. Teaching is not viewed as mainly individual or intuitive but as something reducible to general rules and principles and derived from pre-existing knowledge sources. Often these characteristics are identified with a specific method of teaching. Teachers should set out to improve their teaching through matching

<sup>\*</sup> A keynote address given at a workshop on Second Language Teacher Education, Macquarie University, Sydney, 15 June 1989.

their teaching style to that of a proven teaching method, or by learning what it is that successful teachers do. The approach is, hence, prescriptive.

(iii) A related assumption is that teachers can and should be changed, and that the direction of change can be laid out in advance, planned for, monitored, and tested.

(iv) Lastly, the teacher education programme is essentially theory driven and topdown. Experts may be the source of the new information, skills, and theory which underly the programme, or it may be based on new directions in applied linguistics, second language acquisition, or methodology.

### 2 Content

By content, I mean the goals, topics, and subject matter that the programme addresses. When teacher education is thought of as training, goals are typically stated in terms of performance, and content is identified with skills and techniques and the theoretical rationale for those skills and techniques. Content is generally pre-determined by the teacher educator. The programme addresses observable, teachable, and testable aspects of teaching, which are often linked to specific situations. Pre-and post-training differences can then be measured to determine the programme's effectiveness. For example, before training, teachers might be tested to see what their typical wait-time behaviours are when using questions. Following a workshop in which teachers are trained to monitor their use of questions, the teachers are tested to see to what extent their behaviours have been modified as a result of training. Or, following a workshop on how to make their classrooms more communicative, teachers are observed in their schools to see to what extent their classes are now characterized by a greater use of group work and less of a dependence on teacher-fronted and teacher-directed activities.

#### **3 Process**

Process refers to the means by which the content of the programme is transmitted, that is, the techniques, activities and experiences used to impart new knowledge and skills to the teachers in training. A number of techniques are well suited to a training perspective. Some reflect a view of learning as "modeling": student teachers model the behaviours of master teachers or effective teachers or they model proven techniques of teaching. For example, micro-teaching offers trainers a chance to model new behaviours to teachers and then for teachers to practice and learn the new skills. Observation (either of teachers in the classroom or of model lessons on video) similarly allows student teachers to learn through modeling or imitation. Demonstration, simulation, and role play are also procedures that can be used to help teachers master new techniques, with the hope that they will later try them out in their own classrooms, incorporate them into their repertoire of teaching strategies and, hence, become better teachers.

### 4 Role of the teacher

What is the teacher-in-training's role in the process of teacher education from the training perspective? Essentially, the teacher is viewed as a technician.

According to Zeichner and Liston (1987, 27), "the teacher as technician would be concerned primarily with the successful accomplishment of ends decided by others". The effective teacher is also viewed as a skilled performer of a number of prescribed tasks. Training is intended to expand the teacher's repertoire of tasks and to improve the effectiveness with which tasks are used. The prospective teacher is hence treated as an apprentice, and as a passive recipient of information and skills passed on to him or her by experts -- the teacher educators. The teacher's chief responsibility is to try to suppress old habits and replace them with new ones, and to match his or her teaching style to that prescribed by a new method or guru. The teacher is also expected to observe and imitate accurately, usually without questioning the new wisdom. Participants in audio-lingual training workshops or in Gateggno's Silent Way Workshops will recall the insistence on "suspend criticism: do it our way, and you'll see we are right." Much of what the teacher already knows is seen as a hindrance and will need to be supressed, supplanted, changed, or modified.

### 5 Role of the teacher educator

From a training perspective, the teacher educator is seen as an expert, as a catalyst for change, as a model teacher, and as the source of new ideas and information. His or her primary functions are to provide ideas and suggestions, to solve problems, and to intervene and point out better ways of doing things.

### Limitations of training

The training perspective characterized above exists in a variety of forms, and advocates of training can attest to its effectiveness. It does not take a very sophisticated research design to demonstrate that for some aspects of teacher education, training works. Teachers' behaviours can be changed, often as a result of relatively short periods of training. For example, in a study of the effects of training on teachers' questioning skills (Borg, Kelley, Langer, & Gall, 1970, p.82) a mini-course that consisted of a film explaining the concepts and training in the form of modeling, self-feedback, and micro-teaching, brought about significant changes in the teachers' use of questions. Training is well suited to the treatment of skills, techniques, and routines, particularly those that require a relatively low level of planning and reflection. There are times when a training approach may be all that is required, such as when a group of teachers in a school request a demonstration or workshop on the use of new computer software for the teaching of writing. But despite these advantages, a number of limitations are apparent.

1 Training reflects a very limited view of teachers and of teaching, one that reduces teaching to a technology and views teachers as little more than technicians. It likewise presents a fragmented and partial view of teaching, one which fails to capture the richness and complexity of classroom life and the teacher's role in it. It treats teaching as something atomistic rather than holistic (Britten, 1985).

2 It follows that training limits itself to those aspects of teaching that are trainable and does not address more subtle aspects of teaching, such as how the teacher's values and attitudes shape his or her response to classroom events. Yet these are crucial dimensions of teaching and should not be ignored in teacher education.

3 Training is not classroom based. The content chosen for inclusion in the train-

ing programme is typically pre-determined and selected according to trends in current theory (e.g., the application of insights from second language acquisition research), or according to current vogues in methodology. The focus for training is not on an exploration of the actual processes employed by teachers in classsrooms and their significance. Hence, it is unlikely that the programme will address issues that are central to the real experience of teachers.

4 With training, the locus of responsibility for development lies with the teacher trainer, rather than with teachers themselves.

Let us now compare a training perspective with a teacher development perspective.

# TEACHER EDUCATION AS DEVELOPMENT

### 1 Approach

A number of second language teacher educators have contributed to clarifying the difference between "training" and "education" or "training" and "development" (see Larsen-Freeman 1983, Richards 1987, Pennington 1989, Freeman 1989). Lange (1989) describes the term teacher development as describing a process "of continual, intellectual, experiential, and attitudinal growth". He distinguishes it from training as being "more encompassing and allowing for continued growth both prior to and throughout a career". The distinction is not a new one in teacher education; it dates back at least to Dewey's influence on education at the turn of the century (Haberman 1983). At the level of approach, some of the main conceptual features of teacher development are:

(i) Teachers are not viewed as entering the programme with deficiencies. Although there are obviously areas of content that teachers may not be familiar with and may wish to learn about, more emphasis is placed on what teachers know and do and on providing tools with which they can more fully explore their own beliefs, attitudes, and practices.

(ii) While teacher development acknowledges a theory of teaching as central to the process of planning and implementing a teacher education programme (Richards 1987, Freeman 1989), such a theoretical basis serves not as a source of doctrine which is used to shape and modify teachers, bringing them more closely to an ideal model, but serves as a starting point. Its role is to help teachers explore, define, and clarify their own classroom processes, and their individual theories of teaching and learning. The approach is, hence, non-prescriptive. Teaching is acknowledged to be an intuitive, individual, and personal response to classroom situations and events.

(iii) The programme does not start with the idea that teachers must change or discard current practices. As Freeman (1989, 38) observes,

Change does not necessarily mean doing something differently; it can be an affirmation of current practice: The teacher is [perhaps] unaware of doing something that is effective.

The focus is, thus, more on expanding and deepening awareness.

(iv) The programme is discovery oriented and inquiry based, and bottom-up rather than top-down. Instead of the programme being dependent upon external knowledge and expertise, external input serves as only one source of information. It is complemented by teacher input, and both interact to help teachers understand their own attitudes, values, knowledge base, and practices, and their influence on classroom life.

# 2 Content

When teacher education is approached from the perspective of development, although some of the content areas included in training-based programmes are not necessarily precluded, the content base is expanded both in breadth and depth and a different treatment of techniques and skills is required. At the same time, goals and content have to be articulated that go beyond skills and techniques and address higher level issues, including conceptual, attitudinal, and affective aspects of teaching. These include such hidden dimensions of teaching as the following:

(i) the decision-making and planning processes employed by teachers

(ii) the culture of teachers, that is, the concepts, value systems, knowledge, beliefs, and attitudes that form the basis for teachers' classroom actions

(iii) teachers' views and perceptions of themselves

(iv) teachers' characterizations of their own approaches to teaching and their understanding of effective teaching

(iv) roles of teachers and learners in the classroom.

Wright (in press) sees a focus on teacher and learner roles in the classroom as the central and essential focus of teacher education programmes in language teaching. The distinction between the received rather than the negotiated or reflexive curriculum is also relevant here.

On the one hand, a curriculum that follows a received perspective presents knowledge with the intent that student teachers accept it as predominantly non-negotiable.

Student teachers are to be relatively passive recipients of that which is imparted, whether the source is the wisdom of experienced practitioners or the latest findings of research on teaching. On the other hand, a reflexive curriculum does not totally predetermine that which is to be learned but makes provisions for self- determined needs and concerns of student teachers as well as the creation of personal meaning by students. A reflexive curriculum also includes provisions for the negotiation of content among teachers and learners. Zeichner and Liston 1987, 27.

Hence, goals and content are required that engage teachers in reflecting critically on their own teaching and on their own roles in the classroom. At the same time, opportunities are provided for student teachers and teachers-in-service to develop the ability to make judgements about the content and process of their work, and to "act and react -- to initiate and respond" (Roderick 1986, 308). Reflection is a key component of teacher development. The skill of self-inquiry and critical thinking is seen as central for continued professional growth (Zeichner 1982), and is designed to help teachers move from a level where they may be guided largely by impulse, intuition, or routine, to a level where their actions are guided by reflection and critical thinking. Zeichner and Liston, (1987, 24) describing the rationale for a reflective model of teacher education being implemented at the University of Wisconsin, observe:

Utilizing Dewey's (1933) concept of reflective action as the organizing principle of its curriculum, the programme literature expresses a desire to develop in student teachers those orientations .... and skills .... which lead to reflective action. The continuing development of technical skill in teach ing is also addressed, but only within this broader context of reflective action.

Development does not, therefore, necessarily seek to bring about any specific changes in teachers' behaviours, but to increase awareness, to deepen under-standing of causes and consequences, and to broaden perceptions of what is and is not possible.

#### **3** Process

The different kinds of goals needed with a teacher-development approach require a rethinking of the whole process by which teacher education is conducted. The higher-level cognitive and affective dimensions of teaching that the approach seeks to address cannot be achieved through modeling, practice, imitation or mastery learning. Other alternatives are therefore needed.

A number of different kinds of learning experiences are being employed in an attempt to move beyond skills-training in teacher education (Richards and Nunan in press). These include:

(i) values clarification: activities that engage teachers in examining their own values, attitudes, and belief systems. These may be either relatively informal (e.g., discussion groups, focus groups, brainstorming) or more formal (e.g., questionnaire, structured interviews).

(ii) observation: activities in which teachers observe either other teachers at work or themselves through video recordings, in association with activities that are designed to help teachers explore the significance of patterns of behaviour identified (Nunan, in press (a & b)). Observation is employed here not in order to demonstrate good teaching, but to provide data for reflection and analysis.

(iii) self-reflection: journal and diary accounts can be used to provide opportunities for student teachers to use the process of writing about their own teaching experiences as an analytical tool and to provide information for later reflection (Roderick 1986, Bailey in press, Porter et al., in press).

(iv) self-reporting: the use of self-reports and inventories or check lists, in which teachers record information about what they did during a lesson and describe what worked or didn't work (Richards, in press).

(v) project work: individual or collaborative projects in which teachers address specific classroom issues and then design projects around them.

(vi) problem solving: sessions in which participants bring examples of problems that have arisen out of their classroom experience, reflect on possible causes, and design strategies to address the problems.

(vii) action-research: small-scale classroom based projects in which teachers identify an aspect of their own classroom that they want to learn more about and then develop an action research programme involving data gathering, intervention, monitoring, and evaluation. Such research is not designed to produce generalizable theories and universal truths but is intended to provide a basis for practical classroom action (Kemmis and McTaggart 1982, Nunan in press (b)).

### 4 Role of the teacher

Teachers take on different roles and responsibilities in a programme which centers on development rather than training. The teacher is no longer in a subservient or subordinate role, passively and anxiously awaiting guidance, direction, and suggestions for change and improvement. Rather, the teacher is in a collaborative relationship with the teacher educator. The teacher is an investigator of his or her own classroom and his or her role in it and determines what aspects of the classroom he or she wants to know more about. The teacher, rather than the teacher educator now assumes the responsibility for identifying priorities for observation, analysis and, if necessary, intervention. The teachereducator's role in this relationship is to help by providing information and resources that will assist in the process. As Breen et al. (1989) emphasize, the teacher rather than the teacher trainer is the agent for change, and the teacher's class and the learners in it are the source for information out of which a classroom-centered theory of effective teaching and learning are developed.

### 5 Role of the teacher educator

Changes in the role of the teacher in teacher development necessarily involve changes in the role of the teacher educator. The teacher educator has to move from the role of expert, trainer, or supervisor, to that of collaborator, consultant, or facilitator. No longer merely a transmitter of information, knowledge, and skills, the teacher educator is now involved in a collaborative and interactive relationship. Freeman (1989) sees the teacher educator's role as primarily "triggering change through the teacher's awareness, rather than to intervene directly". Similarly, Roderick (1986, 308) describes teacher educators and student teachers as "co-participants in and co-constructors of educational experience".

The differences between the training and development approaches in teacher education can now be summarized.

# TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT PERSPECTIVES ON TEACHER EDUCA-TION

	TRAINING	DEVELOPMENT
APPROACH	deficiency view	development view
	methods based	on-going process
	external knowledge	internal knowledge
	improvement oriented	awareness oriented
	prescriptive	non-prescriptive
	atomistic approach	holistic approach
	top-down	bottom-up
CONTENT	narrow	broad
CONTLIN		
	performance based	values based
	skills and techniques	process based
	received curriculum	negotiated curriculum
PROCESS	modeling	inquiry based
PROCESS	modeling practice	inquiry based reflective
PROCESS	C	
PROCESS	practice	reflective
PROCESS	practice imitation	reflective action research
	practice imitation short term	reflective action research long term
TEACHER	practice imitation short term technician	reflective action research long term knower
TEACHER	practice imitation short term technician apprentice	reflective action research long term knower investigator
TEACHER	practice imitation short term technician apprentice passive	reflective action research long term knower investigator active
TEACHER ROLE TEACHER-	practice imitation short term technician apprentice passive	reflective action research long term knower investigator active
TEACHER ROLE	practice imitation short term technician apprentice passive subordinate	reflective action research long term knower investigator active co-participant

# IMPLEMENTING A TEACHER DEVELOPMENT APPROACH

I have argued that a teacher development approach to teacher education represents a more appropriate model than a training perspective because (a) it offers a richer and truer conceptualization of teaching, (b) it represents a more democratic division of student-teacher and teacher educator roles, and (c) it has more valid goals. However, what problems can be expected when we try to implement such an approach? The following are some of the issues that may have to be resolved.

#### 1 Developing teacher educators

A fundamental problem is finding faculty who are willing or able to make the move from teacher training to teacher development. Unfortunately, most faculty in university-based graduate TESOL programmes have no training in teacher education and are often unwilling to see it as relevant to their work. They are typically subject-matter specialists who abandoned second language teaching years ago (if they ever did any) in favour of more fashionable research on English syntax, second language acquisition or sociolinguistics. They often hold the view that by giving teachers increasingly sophisticated knowledge about language and language learning theory, or by training teachers in quantitative research methods, their abilities as teachers will improve. But as Freeman comments (1989, 29),

Although applied linguistics, research in second language acquisition, and methodology all contribute to the knowledge on which language teaching is based, they are not, and must not be confused with, language teaching itself. They are, in fact, ancilliary to it, and thus they should not be the primary subject matter of language teacher education.

#### 2 Preparing teachers for development

The new roles required of teachers in a development-focussed approach may not be ones which teachers expect, are familiar with, or may feel comfortable with. Some teachers prefer being told what to do and what works best, and are more interested in being taught to use a method than to develop their own resources as teachers.

An essential phase in planning a new programme is, hence, in providing teachers with an understanding of the nature and process of teacher education and their role in it, negotiating appropriate goals, and building realistic expectations.

#### 3 Building school support

A programme that involves classroom research, collaborative project work, and other school-based initiatives, is dependent upon the good will of colleagues and supervisors for its successful implementation. Does the school see the value of such an approach and provide the necessary support and encouragement? If not, we may be setting out to prepare teachers to carry out a role which their school does not want them to assume. Liason and networking with schools and engaging supervisors and other school personnel in the planning phase of programme development can help address this problem.

#### 4 Evaluating programme accomplishments

Because programme goals in teacher development are long-term, ongoing, and often not measurable directly, rather than short-term, measurable and performance based, it is difficult to determine if and when such goals have been attained. Effects may not be immediately apparent, creating an aura of fuzziness and making evaluation difficult to accomplish. Case studies, ethnographic and longitudinal approaches may therefore be needed to help follow the effects of the programme on different dimensions of teacher development.

These limitations should not, however, discourage us from moving second language teacher education into a new and more fruitful phase of it evolution, one which is characterized by less of a reliance on applied linguistics, less of an emphasis on training, and more attention to the nature and process of teaching and to teacher self-development and continuing growth. Too many teachers leave second language teacher education programmes either bursting with inapplicable theory or with a bag of tricks that offers only partial solutions to the complex issues they confront in the real world. We must do better. The challenge for us in teacher education is to equip teachers with the conceptual and analytical tools they need to move beyond the level of skilled technicians and to become mature language teaching professionals.

### References

- Bailey K. In Press. Teacher diaries in teacher education programs. In Richards and Nunan.
- Borg, W.R., M.Kelley, P.Langer and M.Gall. 1970. The Mini Course: A Micro teaching Approach to Teacher Education. Beverly Hills, CA: Collier-Macmillan.
- Breen, M., C.Candlin, L.Dam. and G. Gabrielsen. 1989. The evolution of a teach er training program. In R.K.Johnson (editor). *The Second Language Curriculum*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 111-135.
- Britten, D.1985. Teacher training in ELT (Part1). Language Teaching. 18.2. 112-128.
- Freeman, D. 1989. Teacher training, development, and decision making: a model of teaching and related strategies for language teacher education. *TESOL Quarterly*, 23, 1, 1989. 27-46.
- Haberman, M. 1983. Research on pre-service and clinical experiences: Implications for teacher education. In K.Howey & W. Gardner (Eds.), *The Educa tion of Teachers*. New York: Longman. 98-117.
- Kemmis, S. and R. McTaggart. 1982. *The Action Research Planner*. Victoria: Deakin University Press.
- Lange, D. 1983. Teacher development and certification in foreign languages: Where is the future? *Modern Language Journal*. 67, 374-381.

\_\_\_\_\_. In press. A blueprint for the design of a teacher development program in second language education. In Richards and Nunan.

- Larsen-Freeman, D. 1983. Training teachers or educating a teacher: In J.Alatis, H.H.Stern, & P.Strevens (Eds), Georgetown Round Table on Languages and Linguistics 1983. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press. 264-274.
- Nunan, D. In press (a). Action research in the language classroom. In Richards and Nunan.

. In press (b). Understanding Language Classrooms: a Guide for Teacher Initiated Action. In press. New York: Prentice Hall International.

- Pennington, M. 1989. Faculty development for language programs. In R.K.John son (editor). *The Second Language Curriculum*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 91-110.
- Porter, P., L. Goldstein., J. Leatherman and S.Conrad. An ongoing dialog: learn ing logs for teacher-training. In Richards and Nunan.
- Richards, J.C. 1987. The dilemma of teacher education in TESOL. *TESOL Quarterly*, 21, 2, 209-226.

- . In press. The teacher as self-observer: self-monitoring in teacher development. In J.C.Richards, *The Language Teaching Matrix*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- and D. Nunan. (editors). In Press. Second Language Teacher Education. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Roderick, J. 1986. Dialogue writing: context for reflecting on self as teacher and researcher. Journal of curriculum and supervision. 1,4, 305-315.
- Wright, T. In press. Understanding classroom role relationships. In Richards and Nunan.
- Zeichner, K. 1982. Reflective teaching and field-based experience in teacher education. *Interchange*, 12,4. 1-22.
- Zeichner, K and D.Liston. 1987. Teaching student teachers to reflect. Harvard Educational Review, 57,1, 23-48.